

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 HEATHER QUINN, et al.,  
8 Plaintiffs,  
9 v.  
10 CORDIS CORPORATION,  
11 Defendant.

Case No. [16-cv-03080-WHO](#)

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
**ORDER REQUIRING DEFENDANT'S  
RESPONSE TO MOTION TO STAY**

20 On June 13, 2016, defendant filed a motion to dismiss, which is set to be heard on July 20,  
21 2017. Dkt. No. 7. On June 27, 2016, plaintiffs filed a motion to remand and a motion to stay the  
22 case pending the Court's resolution of the motion to remand, which are set to be heard on August  
23 10, 2016. Dkt. Nos. 16, 17. I will treat the motion to stay (Dkt. No. 17) as an administrative  
24 motion under Civil Local Rule 7-11. Within four days of the date of this Order, defendant shall  
25 file a response of not more than 5 pages to plaintiffs' motion to stay. Pending my determination of  
26 plaintiffs' motion to stay, the briefing schedule on defendants' motion to dismiss is stayed. The  
27 briefing schedule on plaintiffs' motion to remand is not stayed.  
28

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

21 Dated: June 27, 2016

22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
  
WILLIAM H. ORRICK  
United States District Judge